

before April 18, 2012, in compliance with Rule 8010(a)(1) of the Bankruptcy Rules of Civil Procedure. Rule 8010(a)(1) provides that an appellant's brief shall "contain under appropriate headings and in the order here indicated" each of the following:

- (A) A table of contents, with page references, and a table of cases alphabetically arranged, statutes and other authorities cited, with references to the pages of the brief where they are cited.
- (B) A statement of the basis of appellate jurisdiction.
- (C) A statement of the issues presented and the applicable standard of appellate review.
- (D) A statement of the case....
- (E) An argument....
- (F) A short conclusion stating the precise relief sought.

Bankruptcy Rule 8010(a)(1).

Since filing their Notice of Appeal, Appellants have filed numerous documents, none of which could be construed as a Rule 8010(a)(1)-compliant brief. Indeed, these filings are completely irrelevant and largely undecipherable and incoherent and do not address issues which could conceivably form the basis for any appeal of Bankruptcy Judge Agresti's order. For example, Appellants state:

Goforths remind this Court they'd filed bankruptcy because they don't have the money to meet their living expenses and having difficulty meeting Gods Doctrine requirements according to the Holy, Gods laws are the Holy, in come out from Mystery Babylon don't parker with her sins so you don't receive of her plagues fill to her Adoption infidel cup double for that cup that she's filled for you. Pamela's & Stephen's & these children of Adoption in the Day of the Lord God Great Commissions that we have been sent into for our rewards work.

See, e.g., Appellants' Supplement, Dkt. No. 4, pp. 1-3.

It is well-settled that Rule 8010 is "not only a technical or aesthetic provision, but also has a substantive function – that of providing the other parties and the court with some indication of which flaws in the appealed order or decision motivated the appeal."

See In the Matter of Gulph Woods Corp., 189 B.R. 320, 323 (E.D. Pa. 1995); In re: Shemonsky, 293 Fed. Appx. 110 (3rd Cir. 2008) (“We have held that Rule 8010 serves the substantive purpose of giving the District Court notice of the alleged errors in the appealed decision.”). Consequently, where an appellant fails to set forth the basis for their appeal in a compliant brief, dismissal of the appeal may be appropriate. Shemonsky, 293 Fed. Appx. at 110 (“Summary action is appropriate if there is no substantial question presented in the appeal.”); Gulph Woods, 189 B.R. at 323 (dismissing bankruptcy appeal for pro se appellant’s failure to file a compliant brief).

After a thorough review of the filings in this action, we conclude that dismissal for failure to comply with Bankruptcy Rule of Civil Procedure 8010(a)(1) and (2) is appropriate. An appropriate order follows.

